



Data Mining with Weka

Class 4 – Lesson 1

Classification boundaries

lan H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz



Weka's Boundary Visualizer for OneR

- Open iris.2D.arff, a 2D dataset
 - (could create it yourself by removing sepallength and sepalwidth attributes)
- Weka GUI Chooser: Visualization>BoundaryVisualizer
 - open iris.2D.arff
 - Note: petallength on X, petalwidth on Y
 - choose rules>OneR
 - check Plot training data
 - click Start
 - in the Explorer, examine OneR's rule

Visualize boundaries for other schemes

- Choose lazy>IBk
 - Plot training data; click Start
 - k = 5, 20; note mixed colors
- Choose bayes>NaiveBayes
 - *set useSupervisedDiscretization to true*
- Choose trees>J48
 - relate the plot to the Explorer output
 - experiment with minNumbObj = 5 and 10: controls leaf size

- Classifiers create boundaries in instance space
- Different classifiers have different biases
- Looked at OneR, IBk, NaiveBayes, J48
- Visualization restricted to numeric attributes, and 2D plots



Course text

Section 17.3 Classification boundaries





Data Mining with Weka

Class 4 – Lesson 2

Linear regression

Ian H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz



Numeric prediction (called "regression")

- Data sets so far: nominal and numeric attributes, but only nominal classes
- Now: numeric classes
- Classical statistical method (from 1805!)



 $x = w_0 + w_1 a_1 + w_2 a_2 + \dots + w_k a_k$

(Works most naturally with numeric attributes)



 $x = w_0 + w_1 a_1 + w_2 a_2 + \dots + w_k a_k$

- Calculate weights from training data
- Predicted value for first training instance a⁽¹⁾

$$w_{0}a_{0}^{(1)} + w_{1}a_{1}^{(1)} + w_{2}a_{2}^{(1)} + \dots + w_{k}a_{k}^{(1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} w_{j}a_{j}^{(1)}$$

 $x = w_0 + w_1a_1 + w_2a_2 + \dots + w_ka_k$

Calculate weights from training data

Predicted value for first training instance a⁽¹⁾

$$w_0 a_0^{(1)} + w_1 a_1^{(1)} + w_2 a_2^{(1)} + \dots + w_k a_k^{(1)} = \sum_{j=0}^k w_j a_j^{(1)}$$

Choose weights to minimize squared error on training data



- Standard matrix problem
 - Works if there are more instances than attributes roughly speaking
- Nominal attributes
 - two-valued: just convert to 0 and 1
 - multi-valued ... will see in end-of-lesson Activity

- Open file cpu.arff: all numeric attributes and classes •••
- Choose functions>LinearRegression **
- ** Run it

•*•

- ** Output:
- $|p_1-a_1|+\ldots+|p_n-a_n|$ n - Correlation coefficient $|(p_1-a_1)^2+\ldots+(p_n-a_n)^2|$ - Mean absolute error - Root mean squared error $|p_1 - a_1| + \ldots + |p_n - a_n|$ - Relative absolute error $|a_1 - \overline{a}| + \ldots + |a_n - \overline{a}|$ - Root relative squared error $\frac{(p_1 - a_1)^2 + \dots + (p_n - a_n)^2}{(a_1 - \overline{a})^2 + \dots + (a_n - \overline{a})^2}$ Examine model



Model tree

- Each leaf has a linear regression model
- Linear patches approximate continuous function



- Choose trees>M5P
- Run it
- Output:
 - Examine the linear models
 - Visualize the tree
- Compare performance with the LinearRegression result: you do it!

- Well-founded, venerable mathematical technique: functions>LinearRegression
- Practical problems often require non-linear solutions
- trees>M5P builds trees of regression models



Course text

Section 4.6 *Numeric prediction: Linear regression*





Data Mining with Weka

Class 4 – Lesson 3

Classification by regression

Ian H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz



Can a regression scheme be used for classification? Yes!

Two-class problem

- Training: call the classes 0 and 1
- Prediction: set a threshold for predicting class 0 or 1

Multi-class problem: "multi-response linear regression"

- Training: perform a regression for each class
 - Set output to 1 for training instances that belong to the class,
 0 for instances that don't
- Prediction: choose the class with the largest output

... or use "pairwise linear regression", which performs a regression for every pair of classes

Investigate two-class classification by regression

- Open file diabetes.arff
- Use the NominalToBinary attribute filter to convert to numeric
 - but first set Class: class (Nom) to No class,
 because attribute filters do not operate on the class value
- Choose functions>LinearRegression
- Run
- Set Output predictions option

More extensive investigation

Why are we doing this?

- It's an interesting idea
- Will lead to quite good performance
- Leads in to "Logistic regression" (next lesson), with excellent performance
- Learn some cool techniques with Weka

Strategy

- Add a new attribute ("classification") that gives the regression output
- Use OneR to optimize the split point for the two classes (first restore the class back to its original nominal value)

- Supervised attribute filter AddClassification
 - choose *functions>LinearRegression* as classifier
 - set outputClassification to true
 - Apply; adds new attribute called "classification"
- Convert class attribute back to nominal
 - unsupervised attribute filter NumericToNominal
 - set attributeIndices to 9
 - delete all the other attributes
- Classify panel
 - unset Output predictions option
 - change prediction from (Num) classification to (Nom) class
- Select rules>OneR; run it
 - rule is based on classification attribute, but it's complex
- Change minBucketSize parameter from 6 to 100
 - simpler rule (threshold 0.47) that performs quite well: 76.8%

- Extend linear regression to classification
 - Easy with two classes
 - Else use multi-response linear regression, or pairwise linear regression
- Also learned about
 - Unsupervised attribute filter NominalToBinary, NumericToNominal
 - Supervised attribute filter AddClassification
 - Setting/unsetting the class
 - OneR's minBucketSize parameter
- But we can do better: Logistic regression
 - next lesson





Data Mining with Weka

Class 4 – Lesson 4

Logistic regression

lan H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz

Class 5

Putting it all together

Class 1 **Getting started with Weka** Lesson 4.1 Classification boundaries Class 2 **Evaluation** Lesson 4.2 Linear regression Class 3 Lesson 4.3 Classification by regression **Simple classifiers** Lesson 4.4 Logistic regression Class 4 **More classifiers** Lesson 4.5 Support vector machines

Lesson 4.6 Ensemble learning

Can do better by using prediction probabilities

Probabilities are often useful anyway ...

- Naïve Bayes produces them (obviously)
 - Open diabetes.arff and run Bayes>NaiveBayes with 90% percentage split
 - Look at columns: actual, predicted, error, prob distribution
- Other methods produce them too ...
 - Run *rules>ZeroR*. Why probabilities [0.648, 0.352] for [tested_negative, tested_positive]?
 - 90% training fold has 448 negatve, 243 positive instances
 - (448+1)/(448+1 + 243+1) = 0.648 [cf. Laplace correction, Lesson 3.2]
 - Run trees>J48
 - J48 uses probabilities internally to help with pruning

Make linear regression produce probabilities too!

- Linear regression: calculate a linear function and then a threshold
- Logistic regression: estimate class probabilities directly $Pr[1 \mid a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k] = 1/(1 + \exp(-w_0 - w_1a_1 - \dots - w_ka_k))$



Choose weights to maximize the log-likelihood (not minimize the squared error): $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x^{(i)}) \log(1 - \Pr[1 \mid a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(2)}, \dots, a_k^{(k)}]) + x^{(i)} \log(\Pr[1 \mid a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(2)}, \dots, a_k^{(k)}])$

Open file diabetes.arff

**	Classification-by-regression		76.8%	mean of 10 runs
*	cf	ZeroR	65.1%	65.1%
		Naïve Bayes	76.3%	75.8%
		J48	73.8%	74.5%
•	Apply	y functions>Logistic	77.2%	77.5%

- Extension to multiple classes ...
 - Perform a regression for each class? (like multi-response regression)
 - No. Probabilities won't sum to 1
 - Can be tackled as a joint optimization problem

- Logistic regression is popular and powerful
- Uses logit transform to predict probabilities directly
 - like Naïve Bayes
- Also learned about
 - Prediction probabilities from other methods
 - How to calculate probabilities from ZeroR



Course text

Section 4.6 Numeric prediction: Logistic regression





Data Mining with Weka

Class 4 – Lesson 5

Support vector machines

Ian H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz



Logistic regression \Rightarrow linear boundaries

- Weka's boundary visualizer
 - from the activity following Lesson 3.6



Support vector geometry





Classes that are not linearly separable



(more complex)

- Linear decision boundary
 - but can get more complex boundaries with the "Kernel trick"
- Very resilient to overfitting
 - boundary depends on a very few points
- Weka: functions>SMO
 - restricted to two classes
 - so use Multiresponse linear regression ... or Pairwise linear regression
- Weka: functions>LibSVM
- <section-header>

 Ian H. Witten Elbe Frank Mark Hall

 DAGTAGA

 DAGTAGA

 Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques

 Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques

 Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques

 Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques
- External library for support vector machines
- faster than SMO, more sophisticated options

Course text

Section 6.4 Maximum-margin hyperplane





Data Mining with Weka

Class 4 – Lesson 6

Ensemble learning

Ian H. Witten

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand

weka.waikato.ac.nz

Class 1 Getting started with Weka

Lesson 4.1 Classification boundaries Class 2 **Evaluation** Lesson 4.2 Linear regression Class 3 Lesson 4.3 Classification by regression **Simple classifiers** Lesson 4.4 Logistic regression Class 4 **More classifiers** Lesson 4.5 Support vector machines Lesson 4.6 Ensemble learning Class 5 Putting it all together

Committee structure: build different "experts," let them vote

- Often improves predictive performance
- Produces output that is hard to analyze
 - but: there are approaches that aim to produce a single comprehensible structure
- Methods
 - Bagging
 - Randomization
 - Boosting
 - Stacking

Bagging

- Several training sets of the same size
 - produce them by sampling ... with replacement
- Build model for each one
 - use same machine learning scheme
- Combine predictions by voting (or, for regression, averaging)
- Very suitable for "unstable" learning schemes
 - small change in training data can make big change in model
 - example: decision trees ... but not Naïve Bayes or instance-based learning
- Weka: meta>Bagging
- E.g. with glass.arff
 - J48 66.8%
 Bagging (default parameters) 72.4%

Randomization: random forests

- Randomize the algorithm, not the training data
 - how you randomize depends on the algorithm
- Random forests
 - attribute selection for J48 decision tree: don't pick the best, pick randomly from the k best options
 - generally improves decision trees
- Weka: trees>RandomForests
 - options: number of trees (default 10); maximum depth of trees; number of attributes
- E.g. with glass.arff
 - J48 66.8%
 - RandomForests (default parameters) 75.2%

Boosting

- Iterative: new models are influenced by performance of previously built ones
 - extra weight for instances that are misclassified ("hard" ones)
 - encourage new model to become an "expert" for instances misclassified by earlier models
 - Intuitive justification: committee members should complement each other's expertise
- Uses voting (or, for regression, averaging)
 - but weights models according to their performance
- Often dramatically improves performance
- Weka: meta>AdaBoostM1
- E.g. with glass.arff

– <i>J</i> 48	66.8%
– AdaBoostM1 (using J48)	74.3%

Stacking

- Combine predictions of base learners using a *meta learner* (not voting)
 - base learners: level-0 models
 - meta learner: level-1 model
 - predictions of base learners are input to meta learner
- Base learners are usually different schemes
- Can't use predictions on training data to generate data for level-1 model!
 - Instead use cross-validation-like scheme
- Weka: meta>Stacking
 - and *StackingC*, more efficient version
 - allow multiple level-0 models (by specifying a metaclassifier)
- Quite hard to make stacking work well, but with glass.arff I got

– J48

66.8%

- StackingC, with default metaclassifier and base classifiers IBk, PART, J48 72.5%

Combining multiple models into "ensembles"

- analogy with committees of humans
- Diversity helps, especially with "unstable" learners
 - when small changes in the training data can produce large changes in the learned model

meta>Bagging

- Create diversity by
 - Bagging: resampling the training set
 - Random forests: alternative branches in decision trees trees>RandomForests
 - Boosting: focus on where the existing model makes errors meta>AdaBoostM1
 - Stacking: combine results using another learner (instead of voting) meta>Stacking

Course text

Chapter 8 Ensemble learning







Data Mining with Weka

Department of Computer Science University of Waikato New Zealand



Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License

creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/

weka.waikato.ac.nz